

Local Interstellar Medium: Six Years of Direct Sampling by the Interstellar Boundary Explorer

D.J. McComas^{1,2,*}, M. Bzowski³, S.A. Fuselier^{1,2}, P.C. Frisch⁴, A. Galli⁵, V. V. Izmodenov^{6, **, ***}, O.A. Katushkina⁶, M.A. Kubiak³, M.A. Lee⁷, T.W. Leonard⁷, E. Möbius⁷, N.A. Schwadron^{7,1}, J.M. Sokół³, P. Swaczyna³, B.E. Wood⁸; P. Wurz⁵

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: dmccomas@swri.edu

¹Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX 78228, USA
dmccomas@swri.edu; sfuselier@swri.edu

²University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

³Space Research Centre of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
bzowski@cbk.waw.pl; mkubiak@cbk.waw.pl; jsokol@cbk.waw.pl;
pswaczyna@cbk.waw.pl

⁴University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA frisch@oddjob.uchicago.edu

⁵Physics Institute, University of Bern, Bern, 3012, Switzerland
andre.galli@space.unibe.ch; peter.wurz@space.unibe.ch

⁶Space Research Institute (IKI) of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 117997, Russia
okat@iki.rssi.ru; izmod@iki.rssi.ru

**Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

*** Institute for Problems in Mechanics Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

⁷University of New Hampshire, Space Science Center, Durham, NH 03824, USA
mlee@unh.edu; twp5@wildcats.unh.edu; eberhard.moebius@unh.edu;
Nathan.schwadron@unh.edu

⁸Naval Research Laboratory, Space Science Division, Washington, DC 20375, USA;
brian.wood@nrl.navy.mil

Abstract

The Interstellar Boundary Explorer has been directly observing neutral atoms from the local interstellar medium for the last six years (2009-2014). This paper ties together the 13 studies in this special issue of the *Astrophysical Journal Supplement*, which

collectively describe the IBEX interstellar neutral results from this epoch and provide a number of other relevant theoretical and observational results. Interstellar neutrals interact with each other and with the ionized portion of the interstellar population in the “pristine” interstellar medium ahead of the heliosphere. Then, in the heliosphere’s close vicinity, the interstellar medium begins to interact with escaping heliospheric neutrals. In this study we compare the results from two major analysis approaches led by IBEX groups in New Hampshire and Warsaw. We also directly address the question of the distance upstream to the pristine interstellar medium and adjust both sets of results to a common distance of ~ 1000 AU. The two analysis approaches are quite different, but yield fully consistent measurements of the interstellar He flow properties, further validating our findings. While detailed error bars are given for both approaches, we recommend that for most purposes, the community use “working values” of ~ 25.5 km s⁻¹, $\sim 75.5^\circ$ ecliptic inflow longitude, $\sim 5.1^\circ$ ecliptic inflow latitude, and ~ 7500 K temperature at ~ 1000 AU upstream. Finally, we briefly address future opportunities for even better interstellar neutral observations to be provided by the Interstellar Mapping and Acceleration Probe (IMAP) mission, which was recommended as the next major Heliophysics mission by the NRC’s 2013 Decadal Survey.

1. Introduction

This *Astrophysical Journal Special Supplement* comprises 13 papers that examine the first six years of direct sampling of the local interstellar neutral populations by the Interstellar Boundary Explorer (IBEX), as well as some new analyses of Ulysses/GAS

53 and various related observational and theoretical topics. Collectively, these studies, along
54 with the prior published papers related to the IBEX interstellar neutral observations, open
55 a completely new window on the local interstellar environment, its composition, its
56 properties, and the likely processes at work in the interstellar space around our Sun and in
57 the heliospheric boundary region. These observations also benchmark our understanding
58 of the low density interstellar medium more generally, which is key for stellar and
59 planetary system formation, the formation of astrospheres around other stars, and
60 understanding the tenuous material throughout our galaxy and the many galaxies beyond.

61 The interstellar medium arises from the evolutionary processes associated with star
62 formation, and is refreshed by stellar winds and material ejected from novae and
63 supernovae. IBEX measures the neutral component of the low density interstellar gas that
64 originates in the cloud surrounding the heliosphere. This material is partially ionized and
65 the ions and neutrals interact with each other through charge exchange, recombination,
66 and various forms of ionization. The ionized portion is magnetized and further
67 participates in collective plasma behavior that then couples back into neutral populations
68 producing the complex and fascinating partially ionized medium that dominates the
69 heliosphere's configuration and populates the disk and halo of our galaxy.

70 The local interstellar cloud (LIC) that surrounds the solar system is part of a dynamic
71 system of interstellar clouds, whose column densities, relative speeds and temperatures
72 have been studied on scales of several parsecs through optical and UV line absorption in
73 the light of nearby stars (e.g. see reviews by Cox & Reynolds 1987; Frisch 1995; Frisch

et al. 2011). The first *Copernicus* ultraviolet spectra of interstellar nitrogen lines toward alpha Leo (24 pc) revealed roughly equal amounts of neutral and ionized gas that indicated the warm, low density, partially ionized nature of the local interstellar medium (Richardson et al. 1973). Interstellar neutrals inside of the heliosphere are linked to the interstellar gas toward nearby stars by the gas velocities (Adams & Frisch 1977; Lallement & Bertin 1992; Redfield & Linsky 2008; Gry & Jenkins 2014). The LIC is a quite structured cloud, with the Sun apparently close to its boundary, having recently entered it and with the prospect of exiting it within the next 35000 years according to the neutral hydrogen component (Frisch 1944; Lallement et al. 1995; Wood et al. 2000; Slavin Frisch 2008). Further, directly around the Sun, the very local interstellar medium is part of an evolved superbubble shell that is a particularly interesting portion of the LIC to be able to directly sample and thereby study in detail.

While there have been indirect observations of interstellar neutrals through backscattered solar Lyman-alpha emission [e.g., Bertaux & Blamont 1971; Bertaux et al., 1985; Costa et al., 1999] and even in-situ observations through pickup ions [Möbius et al. 1985; Gloeckler et al. 1992; Gloeckler & Geiss et al. 1998], the only direct sampling of any neutrals from the local interstellar medium prior to 2009 was for Helium (He) by the GAS experiment on the Ulysses spacecraft [Witte et al., 1996; Witte 2004]. Since then, IBEX has been returning new observations of interstellar neutrals from space each year during its interstellar neutral observation season in the winter/spring. IBEX [McComas et al. 2009a] is one of NASA's Small Explorer missions; its objective is to discover the global interaction between the solar wind and the interstellar medium. This has been

achieved through a combination of making the first all sky energetic neutral atom (ENA) images and by directly measuring multiple species of interstellar neutrals that transit through the heliosphere to the location of IBEX at 1 AU.

IBEX has two high-sensitivity ENA cameras: IBEX-Lo [Fuselier et al., 2009a] and IBEX-Hi [Funsten et al., 2009a], which measure ENAs from ~10-2000 eV and ~300-6000 eV, respectively. At its lower energies, IBEX-Lo also measures interstellar neutrals [Möbius et al. 2009a]. IBEX collects neutral atoms as a function of spacecraft spin phase, which arrive nearly perpendicular to its roughly Sun-pointing spin axis. Each winter/spring season, the Earth is in the part of its orbit where the spacecraft's inertial motion rams into interstellar neutrals, which are gravitationally bent just enough that they enter IBEX's viewing plane. Thus, IBEX's detailed observations of various measured ion species as a function of spacecraft pointing and spin phase contains the information needed to determine these species' inflow properties of direction, speed, and temperature.

First results from IBEX, including the discovery of the "IBEX Ribbon" – a long, narrow arc of significantly enhanced ENA emissions that is ordered by the very local interstellar magnetic field – were documented in a special issue of *Science* magazine [McComas et al. 2009b; Funsten et al. 2009b; Fuselier et al. 2009b; Schwadron et al. 2009b]. That issue also provided IBEX's first observations of interstellar neutrals [Möbius et al. 2009b]. These included the first direct sampling of interstellar Hydrogen (H) and Oxygen (O) and IBEX's first season of interstellar He observations. Subsequent studies showed IBEX's first direct sampling of Neon (Ne) and the Ne/O ratio [Bochsler et al. 2012; Park et al.

117 2014], and the first direct sampling of interstellar deuterium (D) [Rodriquez et al. 2013;
118 2014] in the LISM.

119 A number of the prior IBEX studies on interstellar neutrals were published together in a
120 special *Astrophysical Journal Supplement* in 2012 [Bochsler et al. 2012; Bzowski et al.
121 2012; Hlond et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2012; McComas et al. 2012; Möbius et al. 2012; Saul
122 et al. 2012]; these results were based entirely on data from the 2009 and 2010 viewing
123 seasons. In this new, 2015 Special *Astrophysical Journal Supplement*, we provide 13
124 additional studies (Table 1) that collectively incorporate data from all six years of IBEX
125 observations (2009-2014), update the knowledge gained from IBEX's interstellar neutral
126 data, and examine implications of these unique observations on interstellar gas at a single
127 location in space.

128

Table 1. Papers in this special <i>Astrophysical Journal Supplement</i> (<i>ApJS</i>)	
Title	Lead Author
1. Local Interstellar Medium: Six Years of Direct Sampling by the Interstellar Boundary Explorer	McComas
2. The analytical structure of the primary interstellar helium distribution function in the heliosphere	Lee
3. Interstellar Flow and Temperature Determination with IBEX: Robustness and Sensitivity to Systematic Effects	Möbius
4. Determination of Interstellar He Parameters using 5 years of data from the Interstellar Boundary Explorer – beyond closed form approximations	Schwadron
5. Interstellar neutral helium in the heliosphere from Interstellar Boundary Explorer observations: I. Uncertainties and backgrounds in the data and parameter determination method	Swaczyna
6. Interstellar neutral helium in the heliosphere from Interstellar Boundary Explorer observations: II. The Warsaw Test Particle Model (WTPM)	Sokół

7. Interstellar neutral helium in the heliosphere from Interstellar Boundary Explorer observations: III. Mach number of the flow, velocity vector, and temperature from the first six years of measurements	Bzowski
8. The Interstellar Neutral He haze in the heliosphere: what can we learn?	Sokół
9. Can IBEX detect interstellar neutral helium or oxygen from anti-ram directions?	Galli
10. Exploring the Possibility of O and Ne Contamination in Ulysses Observations of Interstellar Helium	Wood
11. 3D kinetic-MHD model of the global heliosphere - non-dissipative limit	Izmodenov
12. Impact of the solar radiation pressure on fluxes of interstellar hydrogen atoms measured by IBEX	Katushkina
13. Statistical Analysis of the Heavy Neutral Atoms Measured by IBEX	Park

129

130 2. Prior Studies of Interstellar Helium

131 The IBEX team's approach to analyzing the interstellar He data has been two pronged.
132 First, in the work led by University of New Hampshire (UNH) team members, we used
133 analytic solutions and approximations [Lee et al. 2012] for the hyperbolic orbits of He
134 atoms in the Sun's gravity well (unlike interstellar H and D, radiation pressure is
135 essentially negligible for He). Using these equations and approximations, we then
136 analytically analyzed the IBEX observations [Möbius et al. 2012]. Second, in the work
137 led by our team members from the Space Research Centre of the Polish Academy of
138 Sciences, we used the Warsaw Test Particle Model (WTPM) to simulate the trajectories
139 of test particles, calculate the expected signal for all data points, and then minimize
140 deviations between results for various input parameters and the IBEX observations
141 [Bzowski et al. 2012]. The two approaches are quite different. The Warsaw approach

142 addresses the more complex problem of fitting the full distributions, including all
143 possible contributions from the various populations as well as backgrounds. In contrast,
144 the UNH approach focuses only on the peak of the distribution, which is a simpler
145 problem. The fact that both approaches yield very consistent values lends strong support
146 for the combined results.

147 Because IBEX observes neutrals only when their trajectory is nearly tangential to Earth's
148 orbit (IBEX views perpendicular to its Sun pointed spin axis), there is a very tight
149 coupling between the interstellar He inflow vector: speed ($V_{\text{ISM}\infty}$), ecliptic longitude
150 ($\lambda_{\text{ISM}\infty}$), ecliptic latitude ($\beta_{\text{ISM}\infty}$) and temperature ($T_{\text{He}\infty}$) far upstream [Lee et al. 2012].
151 This tight coupling is found in both the analytic analyses [Möbius et al. 2012] and
152 Warsaw test particle results [Bzowski et al. 2012]. These analyses provided nearly
153 identical four dimensional "tubes" of these coupled parameters with a very small
154 uncertainty for any specific location along the tube, but a significant extent of possible
155 coupled parameters along it. McComas et al. [2012] examined a small difference between
156 the Warsaw results, which are calculated to 150 AU ahead of the Sun, and the UNH
157 results, which are theoretically calculated to infinity (Section 4 below takes up this issue
158 in more detail), to combine both sets of results. Equations 1-3 of that study provide the
159 coupling equations among the four observable interstellar parameters in the IBEX data.
160 This 4-D tube comes out naturally without further assumptions in the numerical analysis
161 and remains in all subsequent IBEX interstellar He observations and analyses and we
162 have expended considerable effort to localize the most likely position along the tube.

The initial He results [Bzowski et al. 2012; Möbius et al. 2012; McComas et al. 2012] raised interesting questions about the stability of the helium flow direction [Frisch et al. 2013] that stimulated active discussions in the community [e.g. Lallement and Bertaux 2014; Katushkina et al. 2014, Frisch et al. 2015]. However, criticisms of earlier IBEX work were unfounded as McComas et al. [2012] clearly provided (see their Table 1) a broad range of possible coupled parameters from $(21.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 82.0^\circ, -4.84^\circ, 5000 \text{ K})$ to $(25.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 75.5^\circ, -5.14^\circ, 8300 \text{ K})$ with 1σ uncertainties of $\sim(\pm 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \pm 0.5^\circ, \pm 0.2^\circ, \pm 400 \text{ K})$ around any consistent set of parameters along the 4-D parameter tube. Clearly, the tube of possible coupled parameters allowed by the IBEX data was inconsistent with the prior Ulysses data (Witte, 2004) and required either a different velocity vector (with slightly lower speed and slightly larger longitude) or a significantly higher temperature. It was also clear that we needed a larger observational baseline to identify a well-constrained location along the tube for the interstellar parameters.

IBEX and Ulysses observations both have their advantages and disadvantages for measuring the interstellar neutrals, with Ulysses having a more advantageous orbit and overall viewing geometry and IBEX being able to identify various neutral species uniquely and having a much greater peak signal to noise ratio (~ 1000 as compared to ~ 10 for Ulysses - see discussion in McComas et al. [2015]). From the smaller 2009-2010 data set and analysis tools available at the time, it appeared that the more likely resolution of the differences between the Ulysses and IBEX results was that the heliosphere could be moving more slowly and in a slightly different direction with respect to the interstellar

184 medium (with the same upstream temperature found by Ulysses) than previously thought
185 [McComas et al. 2012]. If so, then these authors suggested that a fast magnetosonic bow
186 shock ahead of the heliosphere would no longer be expected. Subsequently, Zank et al.
187 [2013] used numerical models to show that even for a faster relative speed, the coupling
188 of the heliosphere and the directly upstream region via charge exchange would “mediate”
189 a bow shock into a more continuous bow wave.

190 Both the UNH analytic and Warsaw models assumed a single Maxwellian distribution for
191 the upstream interstellar He population as a first approximation, even though there was
192 some evidence for deviations in the shape of the distribution [Bzowski et al. 2012].
193 Subsequently, Kubiak et al. [2014] found that these deviations indicated another,
194 secondary, population of He superposed on the primary ISN flow. This “Warm Breeze”
195 population is roughly half as fast, two and a half times warmer, ~7% as dense, and
196 appears to be coming from an inflow direction ~20° offset from the primary He inflow.
197 The discovery of the Warm Breeze is a major accomplishment, but also one that calls into
198 question this population’s effect on prior studies, which sought to fit the He inflow with a
199 single Maxwellian population.

200 Since the publication of the early IBEX papers, the Ulysses observations have been
201 reexamined, corrected, and extended. These included improved pointing offsets and
202 addition of Ulysses’ final (2006-2007) fast latitude scan data [Bzowski et al. 2014; Wood
203 et al. 2015a], which had not been previously analyzed. Both of these studies returned
204 flow vectors very close to the earlier Ulysses values (the same to within uncertainties),

205 but found significantly higher temperatures of $T_{\text{He}\infty} = 7500 +1500/-2000$ K [Bzowski et al.
206 2014] and 7260 ± 270 K [Wood et al. 2015a] – far above the prior 6300 ± 340 K
207 temperature value [Witte et al. 2004] (see also McComas et al. [2015] for a detailed
208 discussion).

209 Most recently, Leonard et al. [2015] and McComas et al. [2015] examined additional
210 IBEX data and used knowledge of the Warm Breeze to provide updated IBEX results for
211 the interstellar He parameters. Leonard et al. [2015] found inconsistent results for the
212 examined data from 2012-2014 when the IBEX spacecraft spin axis pointing was
213 alternated between essentially in the ecliptic plane and $\sim 5^\circ$ south of it; comparison of
214 these observations made it clear that the previous analytic approximations [Lee et al.,
215 2012] used were not adequate to handle data taken when IBEX points out of the ecliptic.
216 Those authors then only used the data from these seasons when the IBEX spin axis was
217 pointing nearly in the ecliptic plane.

218 McComas et al. [2015] further combined the Leonard et al. [2015] UNH results with
219 Warsaw model analyses and new, direct numerical integrations of the precise analytic
220 trajectories (see Schwadron et al. [2015]) of the 2012-2014 data for pointing both within
221 and out of the ecliptic plane. These results showed that the solution again laid along the
222 same 4-D parameter tube [e.g., McComas et al., 2012, Bzowski et al., 2012, Möbius et
223 al., 2012] and collectively indicated center values for the flow direction closer to the prior
224 Ulysses flow vector, but with a much higher temperature than Ulysses' earlier value.
225 These authors proposed a combined IBEX/Ulysses set of values of $V_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim 26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$,

226 $\lambda_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim 75^\circ$, $\beta_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim -5^\circ$, and $T_{\text{He}\infty} \sim 7000\text{-}9500$ K. They also discussed the important
227 implications of the heliosphere being in a substantially warmer region of the interstellar
228 medium than previously indicated by Ulysses. Because IBEX has a much ($\sim 100\times$) higher
229 signal to noise than Ulysses, it measures much deeper into the tails of the distributions.
230 Clearly IBEX is exposing far more subtle and complex aspects of the interaction than
231 previously observable.

232 **3. Interstellar He Observations in this special *Astrophysical Journal Supplement***

233 In this new *Astrophysical Journal Supplement*, nine studies are devoted to
234 examining interstellar He data over the first six years of IBEX observations. In these,
235 we have made a number of improvements to the data analysis, both in terms of analysis
236 techniques available and instrumental and background effects in order to explore the
237 IBEX interstellar neutral observations much more deeply. These studies include
238 improved analytic approximations for the structure of the helium distribution and the
239 effects of spin axis tilt [Lee et al. 2015]; careful examination of a variety of possible
240 sources of error and new solutions using the analytic approximations [Möbius et al.
241 2015]; a new direct integration of the Keplerian motion and integration through the
242 detailed IBEX-Lo response function [Schwadron et al. 2015]; detailed examination of the
243 uncertainties and backgrounds in the data and their effects on the He parameter
244 determination [Swaczyna et al. 2015]; a thorough discussion and documentation of the
245 Warsaw Test Particle Model [Sokół et al. 2015a]; determination of the He properties
246 using all data and the WTPM [Bzowski et al. 2015]; exploration of the possibilities for

IBEX to detect interstellar neutral He (or O) from the anti-ram direction [Sokół et al. 2015b, Galli et al. 2015]; an examination of the broad, low flux tails of the interstellar He population [Sokół et al. 2015b]; and an exploration to see if interstellar O or Ne observed by IBEX could be “contaminating” the He peak observed by Ulysses [Wood et al. 2015b]. In addition (see Section 5), several other studies examine other aspects of the IBEX observations and interstellar neutrals: [Katushkina et al. 2015] explore the H ISN flow and effects of radiation pressure using a new self-consistent 3D kinetic-MHD model of the global heliosphere and its interaction with the interstellar wind [Izmodenov and Alexashov 2015] while [Park et al. 2015] provide heavy neutral maps and look for a secondary O component.

Lee et al. [2015] improve the analytic work from their previous model [Lee et al. 2012]. The new work includes an analytic second order expansion of the peak of the velocity distribution for several small quantities including the ratio of the helium thermal bulk speed, the angle of the bulk velocity out of the ecliptic, both angles of the spin axis pointing away from the Sun, the collimator angular width, and the difference between the observing longitude and the inflow’s ecliptic tangent longitude at Earth’s orbit. This study shows how the He neutrals evolve into an ellipsoidal distribution as they move along their average hyperbolic orbit.

Möbius et al. [2015] use the analytic approximations of Lee et al. [2012; 2015] to examine the accuracy and robustness of the interstellar He flow determination using data from all six spring seasons of IBEX observations with varying viewing strategies. The

results reconfirm the narrow 4-D tube in allowable interstellar parameters (inflow speed, latitude, longitude, and temperature) [McComas et al. 2012; 2015]. Möbius et al. [2015] evaluate how the parameters are constrained through the observation geometry and analysis methods used and examine various systematic effects important for determining where along this coupled tube of parameters the actual interstellar values lie. These effects include 1) pointing accuracy, 2) ionization, 3) precision of models, 4) coupling of analysis uncertainties, and 5) the influence of the Warm Breeze. Analyzing the angular width of the ISN flow distributions from all six years, these authors find a substantially higher temperature than the original Ulysses GAS value. They also show that the Warm Breeze, which was not yet discovered at the time of our 2012 studies, most likely affects the temperature determination more than the other parameters. They also conclude that this additional population contributed significantly to indicating a slightly different center value along the 4-D tube in the earlier studies [Bzowski et al. 2012; Möbius et al. 2012; McComas et al. 2012].

Using a relatively new analytical tool, Schwadron et al. [2015] numerically integrate trajectory solutions through the detailed IBEX-Lo response function instead of relying on analytic approximations [Lee et al. 2012; 2015]. Then, by varying interstellar parameters along the 4-D parameter tube they minimize the deviations from the IBEX observations. One of the central results of this study is that there can be significant differences in the indicated portion of the 4-D tube from one season to the next owing to the limited data quantity, complicated background, and other effects. On the other hand, by combining the 2009 to 2013 data, these authors achieve a robust result with an interstellar He flow

longitude of $75.6^\circ \pm 1.4^\circ$, with latitude of $-5.12^\circ \pm 0.27^\circ$, speed of 25.4 ± 1.1 km/s, and temperature of 8000 ± 1300 K, obtained from the parameter correlation tube found by McComas et al. [2012]. While they provide valuable insight into physical effects at play, with the development of this new tool, analytic approximations are no longer required for the parameter analysis in the UNH approach and Keplerian orbit solutions can be carried out incorporating increasingly detailed instrumental, spacecraft pointing, and other effects.

A set of three papers from the Warsaw group independently examines the first six years of interstellar neutral helium observations from IBEX using the Warsaw Test Particle Model (WTPM) [Bzowski et al. 2015; Sokół et al. 2015a; Swaczyna et al. 2015].

Swaczyna et al. [2015] provides an in-depth analysis of uncertainties and backgrounds in the IBEX data, works out corrections for the instrument throughput effects, and develops a unified uncertainty system that includes correlations between data points in addition to independent statistical fluctuations. Potentially correlated effects include 1) backgrounds, 2) spin pointing knowledge, 3) viewing direction knowledge, 4) data throughput effects, and 5) removal of the signal from the Warm Breeze. Of these, imperfect knowledge, and thus subtraction, of the Warm Breeze is the dominant contributor to the high global chi-squared values in previous analyses and these authors show that, at least for the 2009 data, the new uncertainty scheme can reduce the chi-squared minimum value by a factor of ~ 4 . However, they also note that this value is still above the expected value – the number of

310 degrees of freedom in the analysis – which likely indicates additional unaccounted for
311 uncertainties and/or additional missing aspects in the physical model.

312 The second paper in this set by Sokół et al. [2015a] provides a number of advances and
313 improvements and detailed documentation for the Warsaw Test Particle Model (WTPM),
314 which is based on the “hot model” of interstellar neutral helium in the heliosphere [e.g.,
315 Fahr 1978; Thomas 1978]. This was then initially adapted to model the IBEX-Lo
316 measurements by Bzowski et al. [2012]. This study describes two unique versions of the
317 model: an analytic-based version, aWTPM, and the full numerical version, nWTPM.
318 While based on the same basic approach, the two differ in how ionization losses are
319 included and how quickly they can come to closure. The WTPM model tracks test atoms
320 from the detector backwards to their source region in front of the heliosphere using
321 analytic solutions for the hyperbolic Kepler trajectories. The temporal and spatial
322 variations in the ionization losses due to solar EUV radiation, charge exchange with solar
323 wind ions, and electron impact are taken into account based on a state of the art model of
324 these solar factors developed by Bzowski et al. 2013, Bochsler et al. 2014, and Sokół &
325 Bzowski 2014.

326 Finally for this set of three papers, Bzowski et al. [2015] applies the complete nWTPM
327 [Sokół et al. 2015a] with the data correlation, uncertainty system, and parameter fitting
328 method [Swaczyna et al. 2015] to the first six years of IBEX interstellar neutral
329 observations. These authors examine the data both separately for each year and for all six
330 years together. Separately, the results show significant differences in the most likely set

of values, which are highly correlated with each other along the 4-D tube of possible parameters, but, as those authors show, this scatter in the results does not exceed statistical expectations. Thus, the WTPM analysis suggests that ISN He data from all six years are consistent with one parameter set, regardless of observation details such as IBEX spin axis pointing, which may vary between orbits. Analyzing the data from all six years combined, they find the most likely values for the interstellar He neutral speed, latitude, longitude, and temperature as $(25.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 75.8^\circ \pm 0.5^\circ, -5.16^\circ \pm 0.10^\circ, 7440 \pm 260 \text{ K})$, with highly correlated parameter values and uncertainties. They also find that as the ratio of thermal to bulk velocity, the sonic Mach number of 5.079 ± 0.028 is much less variable than the other parameters. This value is also consistent with both earlier IBEX analyses [Bzowski et al. 2012; Möbius et al. 2012; McComas et al. 2012] and the revised Ulysses values [Bzowski et al. 2014; Wood et al. 2015a], but not with the earlier Ulysses values with a much lower temperature [Witte 2004].

In other studies related to the IBEX observations of interstellar He, Sokół et al. [2015b] examined the deep wings of this distribution. This study presents the topic of the fall peak and makes predictions about its location and strength, as well as the dependence of the signal on the sputtering cutoff. In contrast to the peak of the He distribution, which has a signal to noise ratio in IBEX-Lo of >1000 , these authors used simulations to examine signals in the range from 0.001 to 0.01 of the peak value. While these lower fluxes have been left out of prior analyses, they may contain some of the most important information about the detailed physics of the He distribution, including its possible departure from equilibrium. These authors examine the possibilities of both a superposition of the

353 Maxwellian primary and Warm Breeze populations and several different kappa
354 distributions and identify the regions of IBEX observations that have the most potential
355 to resolve these important tails of the interstellar He population.

356 Following on the modeling reconnaissance by Sokół et al. [2015b], Galli et al. [2015]
357 made a detailed examination to see if IBEX can possibly detect interstellar neutral He or
358 O in the fall when the Earth (and IBEX) are moving away from the interstellar flow
359 direction. While extremely challenging, such an observation would provide very strong
360 constraints on the interstellar flow vector. These authors examine the times of the lowest
361 possible background rates in IBEX-Lo, but find that even then, it cannot observe
362 interstellar helium from the anti-ram direction. This result is largely because of the low
363 He energy of ~ 10 eV in the IBEX spacecraft frame because of the velocity subtraction,
364 which is below that required for detection by sputtering off the IBEX-Lo conversion
365 surface ($\sim 25\text{--}30$ eV). In contrast, interstellar O might be detectable, but given the much
366 lower fluxes, the expected signal is close to the detection limit imposed by the
367 magnetospheric foreground and counting statistics. This study also provides an
368 assessment of the minimum energy threshold for sputtering by interstellar He, which was
369 impossible to obtain by ground calibration. The result provides an important confirmation
370 of the data analysis strategy the IBEX team adopted (no need to correct for this effect) on
371 one hand, and on the other hand points out the importance of this threshold for Warm
372 Breeze studies, as inferred already by modeling studies [Kubiak et al. 2014; Sokół et al.
373 2015b].

Finally, Wood et al. [2015b] seek a solution for the remaining, albeit much smaller, temperature difference between the warmer IBEX measurements and cooler Ulysses ones. These authors examine whether “contamination” by interstellar O and Ne could artificially reduce the width of the interstellar He distributions in the Ulysses observations. In particular, the Ulysses GAS experiment cannot distinguish between neutral species as IBEX-Lo can, so it is possible that heavier neutrals could be contributing to the putative He signal on Ulysses. Such contamination would contribute a narrower superposed peak and manifest itself as an apparently lower temperature for the combined distribution. This study finds that while this effect cannot produce a 1000 K difference, it can easily account for an apparent 100 K difference, and possibly as much as several hundred K artificial reduction in the Interstellar He temperature.

4. How far upstream is the “pristine” local interstellar medium?

Table 2 shows the interstellar He parameters from both approaches taken in this special *Supplement*: The UNH analytic method [Lee et al. 2015; Möbius et al. 2015], culminating in the new UNH trajectory numerical integration method [Schwadron et al. 2015] and the Warsaw WTPM method [Sokół et al. 2015a; Swaczyna et al. 2015; Bzowski et al. 2015]. The first of these is based on hyperbolic, Keplerian motion around the Sun and calculates trajectories in principle “from infinity.” In contrast, the WTPM calculates particle trajectories only out to 150 AU from the Sun. While the error bars are such that the two results are already consistent, the difference in how far upstream the two methods are calculated is not a residual statistical error, but a systematic effect that should be

395 calculated and corrected for. McComas et al. [2012] took a first cut at this for the 2012
 396 studies [Mobius et al. 2012; Bzowski et al. 2012]; here we examine this issue more
 397 carefully and suggest a better compromise solution.

Table 2. Interstellar He values derived from the independent UNH and Warsaw analysis methods for determining these parameters.				
	$V_{\text{ISM}\infty}$ (km s ⁻¹)	$\lambda_{\text{ISM}\infty}$ (°)	$\beta_{\text{ISM}\infty}$ (°)	$T_{\text{He}\infty}$ (K)
UNH (“infinity”)*	25.4±1.1	75.6±1.4	-5.12±0.27	8000±1300
WTPM (150 AU)*	25.8±0.4	75.8±0.5	-5.16±0.10	7440±260
* Uncertainties are dependent on one another and lay along the 4-D parameter tube.				

398

399 For any of the test particle [Bzowski et al. 2012, Sokół et al. 2015a] or even MHD
 400 simulations [e.g., e.g. Izmodenov et al. 2009; Zank et al. 2013; Heerikhuisen et al. 2014;
 401 Izmodenov & Alexashov, 2015], calculations begin at some finite distance upstream
 402 where the gas is presumed to be in equilibrium and thus represented by a spatially
 403 homogeneous Maxwellian distribution, flowing with a relative velocity with respect to
 404 the Sun called the “velocity at infinity”. However, this is not precisely correct. Here, we
 405 seek to determine as accurately as possible where we can best assume a Maxwellian or at
 406 least stationary state (kappa distribution) [Livadiotis and McComas 2009; 2013] where
 407 the upstream neutral population is unaffected by interactions with the Sun or heliosphere.
 408 At such an upstream distance, this distribution can be assumed to be flowing with a fixed

409 velocity, from a region that is beyond both nearly all of the 1) Sun's gravitational
410 influence and 2) coupling to the heliosphere and its separate particle and field
411 environment, both of which produce systematic effects. At least on the 100s AU scale
412 size of the heliosphere, we should be able to assume that this flow is homogeneous with
413 the same flow vector, a necessary assumption when we combine observations from
414 different vantage points.

415 Collisions and charge exchange between ions and neutrals in the interstellar medium
416 knock some of the atoms onto the trajectories that ultimately enter the IBEX-Lo
417 collimator. The distance of this last collision before heliospheric influences set in is
418 certainly finite, not known precisely, and is basically stochastic, since collisions are
419 stochastic in the interstellar medium. Thus, the individual dynamical histories of the
420 atoms are not needed – all that counts is the trajectory of each atom after its last
421 interaction. Collisions in the outer heliosheath are quite frequent and, for example, for a
422 population with a density of $\sim 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at $\sim 7000 \text{ K}$, the collisional Mean Free Path (MFP)
423 is only $\sim 100\text{-}200 \text{ AU}$ [Kubiak et al. 2014]. For particles approaching the heliosphere, the
424 populations are moving together, so the mean relative speed relevant for the calculation
425 of collisional rates is the thermal speed, which can lead to MFPs several times larger.

426 In the pristine interstellar medium is not important whether an interaction is charge
427 exchange between He and He^+ or elastic He – He or He – proton collision, or even a He –
428 H collision. What matters is that the trajectories are changed and in fact randomized in
429 the combined upstream interstellar population. So long as these interactions are between

430 members of the pristine interstellar flow populations, they fundamentally don't matter as
431 IBEX measures an ensemble of atoms from the LIC. The key point in the interaction
432 occurs when unaffected interstellar neutral atoms begin to interact with atoms that have
433 already been influenced by the heliosphere. At some distance the heliosphere begins to
434 perturb the medium as neutrals that start within the heliospheric interaction region travel
435 far upstream into the inflowing LIC. One of the advantages of measuring He from the
436 LIC is that He atoms interact less than other species in the outer heliosheath. However,
437 they still do at least a little, likely producing the Warm Breeze and possibly even other
438 smaller populations; fortunately, with the extremely large signal to noise of IBEX-Lo, we
439 are able to see deeply into the tails of the interstellar He population and discover and
440 separate such populations. The bottom line is that there is a finite, surprisingly small, and
441 currently unknown distance for the source of pristine He atoms. This contributes a small
442 extra systematic uncertainty to the results of both the Ulysses and IBEX analyses.

443 There are two primary and independent effects relevant to how far upstream the "pristine"
444 interstellar medium might be thought to begin, and hence how far upstream IBEX (and
445 other) interstellar neutral observations should be calculated to. These are based on 1) the
446 Sun's gravity and 2) coupling of information about the presence of the heliosphere to the
447 interstellar gas upstream of it in the interstellar medium. The first, gravitational
448 considerations are more straightforward. The Sun's Hill Sphere, or region where its
449 gravitational influence is dominant, extends out to ~5000 AU, where the net collective
450 forces of the gravitational field of the Galactic disk begin to become larger than that of
451 the Sun [Chebotarev 1964]. Without collisions, at approximately this distance, the

concept of Keplerian motion about the Sun breaks down. Fortunately, the difference in the analytic trajectory solutions between 5000 AU and infinity is $<0.05^\circ$ and is thus effectively negligible. Therefore, this distance sets an upper bound on where it might be reasonable to consider the interstellar medium as actually pristine.

Analyses by the UNH group invoke hyperbolic equations of Keplerian motion to calculate trajectories of neutrals observed by IBEX “to infinity” either using analytic approximations [Lee et al. 2012; 2015; Möbius et al. 2012, 2015; Leonard et al. 2015] or numerical integration of the equations [Schwadron et al. 2015; McComas et al. 2015]. In contrast, the Warsaw group calculates particle motions out to 150 AU ahead of the Sun [Bzowski et al. 2012, 2015], well within the region where there is still some bending of the trajectories from the Sun’s gravity as well as coupling of the interstellar and heliospheric neutral and plasma populations. For the 2012 round of IBEX papers in *Astrophys. J. Supplements* [Bzowski et al. 2012; Möbius et al. 2012], McComas et al. [2012] proposed a resolution where the values at 150 AU were “corrected” to infinity for comparison, using the analytic equations [Lee et al. 2012; Möbius et al. 2012]. McComas et al. [2012] found that the differences from 150 AU to infinity were mainly in the flow longitude and speed. Starting from the IBEX observations at 1 AU in the spring season, the longitude at infinity was calculated to be 0.75° larger (i.e. 76.15° versus 75.4°) than reported at 150 AU. Likewise, the speed is lower by 0.3 km s^{-1} at infinity versus 150 AU. This leads to a noticeable difference in the results of the two different techniques that is based entirely on where each sets its starting distance.

Here, we further examine the underlying physics and propose another definition of the appropriate upstream distance to consider as “pristine” local interstellar medium. This requires both a reexamination of the residual gravitational effects beyond 150 AU and an assessment of how far upstream interactions between the heliosphere and the inflowing very local interstellar medium exist. The effects of the heliosphere’s coupling with the upstream interstellar medium are complex [e.g., Izmodenov et al., 2009; Zank et al. 2014]. On the one hand, collisions, charge exchange, and other internal interactions between pristine interstellar neutrals and charged particles are simply processes that maintain the particle distributions in the partially ionized interstellar medium; we assume interactions keep this medium in a state of equilibrium at sufficiently large distances from the Sun. On the other hand, as soon as the interstellar medium reaches the vicinity of the Sun, the coupling starts to include collisions, charge exchange, and other interactions with heliospheric particles, effectively sharing information about the presence of the heliosphere with the inflowing material ahead of it.

An important aspect of the heliosphere’s interstellar interaction is the coupling between the magnetic fields and charged particles of the plasma inside and surrounding the heliosphere with the neutral component of the local interstellar medium. This coupling occurs through charge exchange, ionization, and recombination, where ions and neutral atoms pass back and forth between the ionized and neutral distributions. The creation of a “Hydrogen Wall” ahead of the heliopause [Baranov et al., 1991; Linsky & Wood, 1996] is the best known example of this coupling. More recently, IBEX data were used to discover a secondary neutral He population, dubbed the “Warm Breeze” [Kubiak et al.

495 2014], which is most likely also explained by such coupling. The overall coupling clearly
496 affects the analysis and interpretation of interstellar neutral observations from Ulysses
497 and IBEX.

498 While the Warm Breeze appears to form over a surprisingly small distance from the Sun,
499 the primary interstellar flow is probably only minimally affected by its passage through
500 the outer heliosheath. The largest effect on it is probably just losing a small percentage of
501 its members to charge exchange and thus to the heliosheath plasma. In addition, rare non-
502 charge exchanging collisions could have a small, but noticeable effect on the interstellar
503 flow proper. This could produce non-thermal features in the wings of the distribution.
504 Again, however, we would expect only a very minor influence on the bulk parameters of
505 the primary interstellar flow.

506 To further examine how the implied upstream parameters change with increasing
507 distance in the Warsaw modeling, we calculated three chi-squared minimizations for the
508 2013 season for various upstream distances. For this study we included orbits 193a-198a,
509 which is just slightly broader than used in Bzowski et al. [2015]. In order to ensure that
510 the broadest range of the distribution is included, we also use a slightly broader range of
511 spin angles (246° - 288°). For all optimizations, we use the same data and correlation
512 matrix of uncertainties. Figure 1 plots various implied upstream inflow parameters out at
513 150, 1000, and 5000 AU.




Figure 1. Calculated reduced chi-squared (chi-squared divided by number of degrees of freedom, a), inflow latitude (b), inflow speed (c) and upstream temperature (d) as functions of inflow longitude for 2013 data using the Warsaw Test Particle Model. The implied upstream inflow parameters are calculated out at 150 AU (red), 1000 AU (green), and 5000 AU (blue).

Differences between the three distances are small, but systematic with a significant difference from 150-1000 AU and very little difference from there out to 5000 AU. From 150AU to 1000AU the differences are $\sim 0.1^\circ$ in the reduced chi-squared minimum inflow longitude and ~ 200 K lower temperature, and $\sim 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ smaller inflow speed. There is

essentially no difference in inflow latitude, indicating that the inflow latitude is not sensitive to the tracking distance of the atoms. Perhaps most interesting is the very small difference in inflow longitude, which varies from 74.87° , 74.96° , 74.97° for 150 AU, 1000 AU, and 5000 AU, respectively. These small differences, and similarly small changes in the temperature and inflow speed, occur as the optimum solution moves slightly along the 4-D tube of correlated parameters. The differences between 1000AU and 5000AU are all extremely small, and in the case of temperature and speed the blue curve actually covers the green one. The differences between the speed and temperature at 150 AU and 1000 AU are a consequence of the acceleration due to Sun's gravity and resulting increase in kinetic energy of ISN He atoms. Heliosphere models provide an alternative way of assessing the region of the solar influence on the interstellar medium. Only for a very strong external magnetic field of $\sim 4 \mu\text{G}$, is the influence of the heliosphere even barely evident in the plasma component at 1000 AU (Zank et al. 2013), but such a strong field is not consistent with other information on the LIC properties from IBEX (Schwadron et al. 2011) and theoretical LIC models (Slavin & Frisch 2008).

In balance, we recommend a reasonable distance to consider the upstream interstellar medium to be "essentially pristine" is 1000 AU, and we adopt that distance in this study. Beyond 1000 AU, the gravitational bending calculated from the analytic solutions is $< 0.1^\circ$ and MHD simulations even for the no Bow Shock case [Zank et al. 2013] show essentially no perturbation by the heliosphere on the LIC. For stronger interactions where a Bow Shock does exist, the distance range covered by the Hydrogen Wall, and in fact

the entire scale of the heliosphere's interaction, extends even less far upstream. Using 1000 AU as the baseline distance to track neutral atoms to and compare between the WTPM and UNH calculations, Table 3 includes both sets of values corrected to 1000 AU. For the UNH values, we used the analytic calculation as McComas et al. [2012] did, but this time to take UNH values at "infinity" and bring them back in to 1000 AU. These modifications were tiny and only added 0.04 km s^{-1} , reduced the inflow longitude by 0.1° , and increased the temperature by 25 K, which is so much smaller than the error bars that it is ignored in the table. For the Warsaw values, we include the offsets found above for the 2013 data along the coupled parameter tube, but have simply retained the error bars from the uncorrected values. In both cases, the corrections to 1000 AU are very small.

Table 3. Interstellar He parameters adjusted to an upstream source at ~1000 AU.				
	$V_{\text{ISM}\infty} (\text{km s}^{-1})$	$\lambda_{\text{ISM}\infty} (^\circ)$	$\beta_{\text{ISM}\infty} (^\circ)$	$T_{\text{He}\infty} (\text{K})$
UNH (1000 AU)*	25.44 ± 1.1	75.5 ± 1.4	-5.12 ± 0.27	8000 ± 1300
WTPM (1000 AU)*	25.4 ± 0.4	75.9 ± 0.5	-5.16 ± 0.10	7240 ± 260
“Working values” (1000 AU)	25.5	75.5	-5.1	7500
* Uncertainties are dependent on one another and lie along the 4-D parameter tube.				

556

Finally, we sought to combine both sets of values into a single “best” set for our current knowledge of the pristine interstellar He properties around the heliosphere as we did in

2012 [McComas et al. 2012]. However, given the differences in the analysis approaches used, largely overlapping error bars, and difficulty in assigning quantitatively exactly the correlation or independence of the various uncertainties in the two techniques, we decided not to attempt this. Rather, we return to the concept of McComas et al. [2015], that it may be best to simply provide good “working values” for the community to use, that by their very lack of specificity, avoid implying more accuracy than is really known. Thus, we suggest working values of $V_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim 25.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\lambda_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim 75.5^\circ$, $\beta_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim -5.1^\circ$, and $T_{\text{He}\infty} \sim 7500 \text{ K}$ at $\sim 1000 \text{ AU}$ upstream as shown in Table 3. These values are within the one sigma error bars of both of the new IBEX analyses and also in good agreement with the revised Ulysses values [Bzowski et al. 2014; Wood et al. 2015a], especially when the possibility of $\sim 100 \text{ K}$ reduction in the apparent temperature is added back onto the Ulysses values [Wood et al. 2015b].

5. Other Interstellar Neutral Atom Observations and Analyses

Izmodenov & Alexashov [2015] describe the latest version of 3D kinetic-MHD model of the solar wind/LISM interaction. Both heliospheric and interstellar magnetic fields are included in the model as well as heliolatitudinal variations of the solar wind mass flux. Interstellar hydrogen atoms are treated kinetically and a Monte-Carlo method is used for calculations of the hydrogen parameters in the heliosphere. The Hydrogen Wall appears in the model due to charge-exchange between H atoms and interstellar protons outside the heliopause. The hydrogen distribution obtained at 90 AU from the Sun is used as a boundary condition for study of Katushkina et al. [2015].

Katushkina et al. [2015] use the Moscow model described by Izmodenov and Alexashov [2015], to simulate interstellar hydrogen fluxes at one AU. The study focuses on a specific IBEX orbit from 2009, which was part of interstellar H observations from 2009-2011 examined by Schwadron et al. [2013]. The model includes solar radiation pressure and solar wind ionization as functions of time and heliolatitude and charge exchange in the outer heliosphere, which leads to non-Maxwellian distributions. Differences between the observations and model are most strongly affected by solar radiation pressure, and a best fit between the model and data requires a ratio of radiation pressure to gravity (μ) ~ 1.26 , which is significantly larger than the value derived from independent solar Lyman-alpha flux observations for this time.

The study by Park et al. [2015] examines IBEX observations of interstellar O and Ne for the 2009-2011 seasons. These observations have quite low counting statistics, so these authors employ three independent statistical methods to determine the statistical significance of individual pixels. Together, the results from these complimentary methods build confidence in the detection of heavy neutral atoms and resultant sky maps of these neutral atoms. The sky maps in turn inform the spatial distribution of heavy neutral atoms in the heliosphere. The emission feature extends toward both lower longitude and higher latitude from the interstellar neutral O+Ne inflow peak; this feature may be exposing a secondary oxygen distribution, produced by charge exchange between interstellar neutral hydrogen atoms and oxygen ions in the outer heliosheath. Its offset from the primary O

and Ne ISN flow is in the same direction as that of the He Warm Breeze from the He ISN flow.

6. Interstellar Mapping and Acceleration Probe – IMAP

In 2012-2013, the National Research Council (NRC) of the United States National Academies carried out the latest Heliophysics Decadal Survey, which culminated in the Decadal Survey report entitled *Solar and Space Physics: A Science for a Technological Society* (2013). As a part of the survey, over 180 white papers were submitted as input to the process. Of these, a small number of mission concepts were analyzed in detail, including one named the Interstellar Mapping Probe or IMaP [McComas et al., white paper 2012]. This white paper laid out a mission concept to follow on from IBEX as a Heliophysics Solar-Terrestrial Probe (STP) mission.

IMaP was conceived to take the next quantum leap forward from IBEX, both pushing forward IBEX's groundbreaking Energetic Neutral Atom (ENA) observations with ~100x better combined sensitivity and resolution and an extended energy range and directly sampling the interstellar neutral populations with decades better statistics. The suggested payload also included all other samples of interstellar matter including pickup ions (generated from interstellar neutrals), ACRs and GCRs, and interstellar dust. Finally, the suggested payload also included solar wind observations from L1, including solar wind plasma electrons and ions, energetic particles, and interplanetary magnetic field, as well as Ly- α photometry; all of these are needed to characterize and remove backgrounds

621 from the primary observations and could also be used for upstream, real time solar wind
622 observations if desired. The mission concept provided by the IMaP white paper was for a
623 Sun pointed spinning spacecraft in orbit around the Earth-Sun L1 Lagrangian point,
624 roughly 1.5 million km sunward of the Earth. This allowed for a very simple spacecraft,
625 like the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE), and a minimum cost but extremely
626 robust mission. McComas et al. [2011] argued that IMaP would be an analogous step
627 forward for heliophysics that WMAP was from COBE.

628
629 After significant study, the Decadal Survey committee returned a very similar Interstellar
630 Mapping and Acceleration Probe (IMAP) that largely reflected the IMaP white paper, but
631 also expanded the energetic particle observations into a much more capable instrument
632 that not only provided background and real time solar wind information, but also enabled
633 detailed analysis of particle acceleration in the solar wind, and thus required the expanded
634 name Interstellar Mapping and Acceleration Probe (IMAP).

635
636 IMAP will provide the next giant step forward in the direct measurement of interstellar
637 neutral atoms. In particular, an even more capable low energy interstellar neutral atom
638 camera is envisaged to measure atoms from ~10-1000 eV with a pointing knowledge of
639 better than 0.05°. This will provide the capability to measure the precise abundances and
640 independent flow parameters of H, He, O, and Ne, and accurately measure the D/H ratio.
641 These observations will have much higher sensitivity and angular resolution than the
642 current IBEX-Lo observations. The high-precision flow vector and temperature

measurements of He and O will strongly constrain models of the ionization state and radiation environment of the LISM. Further, detailed observations of secondary O and He will inform the very local interstellar magnetic field and the detailed structure of the outer heliosheath, as well as the expected departures of the local interstellar gas from equilibrium. Key isotope ratios (D/H , $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$, $^{22}\text{Ne}/^{20}\text{Ne}$), obtained through pickup ions, will provide strong constraints on big bang cosmology and the evolution of matter.

Surely, IMAP promises to push discoveries and understanding of the heliosphere's interstellar environment even far beyond the great leaps currently being taking with IBEX!

7. Conclusions

IBEX is truly a remarkable mission of exploration and discovery. Over its first six years of observations IBEX has generated a broad range of important scientific firsts and discoveries (see Table 1 in McComas et al. [2014]). In the 13 studies in this special *Astrophysical Journal Supplement*, we significantly push forward the analysis and interpretation of the interstellar neutral observations from IBEX, using its six first years of data.

For the interstellar He, which is the primary focus of this *Supplement*, we rely on two independent and quite different analysis schemes led by IBEX team members in Warsaw and at UNH. Both approaches are used to solve for interstellar parameters by minimizing the difference between simulations and observations. The basis for comparison comes

663 from the observed spin-phase distribution of counts as a function of the 6° spin sector,
664 collected over a series of spins of the spacecraft. However, the analyses differ
665 significantly in how spin-phase distributions are analyzed. In the case of the UNH model,
666 only the peak location in spin-phase is used for further analysis to deduce the ISN flow
667 latitude and longitude. The sectorized counts are fit to a smooth function (a Gaussian
668 distribution so far) and the peak of that distribution provides a single spin-phase with
669 which to compare to the distribution peak returned by simulations. Since the peak in the
670 distribution is mostly sensitive to the changes in the primary component over the
671 observer longitude through the ISN flow observation season and the data selection is
672 restricted in longitude and latitude coverage very close to the peak, this method almost
673 eliminates the sensitivity to the secondary component or background. Möbius et al.
674 [2015] detail the residual uncertainty from the presence of the secondary component.

675 In the case of the Warsaw model, the deviation is calculated over the spin-phase
676 distribution. The Warm Breeze from Kubiak et al. [2014] is subtracted from the observed
677 spin-angle count distribution and the residual distribution is fit to a background and the
678 primary distribution by minimizing the difference between the residual distribution and
679 simulated distribution. The Warsaw model is therefore somewhat sensitive to residuals
680 from the Warm Breeze.

681 In addition, in a supplementary analysis, the Warsaw model is used to calculate the
682 expected Gaussian parameters of the ISN beam, which are subsequently compared with
683 the observed one. In this analysis, the Warsaw and UNH analyses come the closest

together in their assumptions, since adopting the Gaussian function as an approximation of the signal forces symmetry in spin angle about the peaks. Results of this analysis, shown by Bzowski et al. [2015], are essentially identical with the results from their baseline analysis, with a temperature that is somewhat higher (~8150 K versus 7440 K). Another difference in the two analyses is in time resolution of the data used. The UNH approach uses data collected in five groups of equal time length per orbital arc, while in the Warsaw treatment the signal is integrated over the entire duration of the clean ISN observing times, and the simulation reproduces this integration.

In any case, the UNH and Warsaw analysis methods differ significantly in their approach, assumptions, and what aspects of the observations they are most sensitive to. The fact that both methods lead to completely consistent values (within their one sigma errors) lends significant credibility to these combined solutions.

Together, the interstellar He studies in the *Supplement* provide a major step forward in the analysis of the IBEX interstellar neutral He observations and in the understanding of the local interstellar medium more generally. Future work will need to simultaneously fit the primary and Warm Breeze He components using all of the data currently available along with new data from the 2015 season, which includes spin axis pointing 5° north of the ecliptic. While analytic approximations may not be up to this even harder task, the two models with direct integrations of the analytic trajectories (WTPM [Sokół et al. 2015a]) and the newly-developed UNH response function integration [Schwadron et al. 2015] are suitable for this problem. In this way, we seek to maintain two parallel analysis

paths as a cross check to ensure the most careful analysis and absolutely most accurate scientific results from these challenging but extremely critical observations.

In this study we also examined the systematic effects of gravitation and the coupling of the heliosphere and interstellar medium. Here we propose a working definition of an essentially “pristine” interstellar medium ahead of the heliosphere at ~ 1000 AU. By this distance, 1) the gravitational effects produce $<0.1^\circ$ and 0.05 km s^{-1} difference compared to infinity, and 2) the coupling with the heliosphere is essentially negligible even for the larger interaction in the case where there is no bow shock ahead of the heliosphere [Zank et al. 2013]. Thus, we recommend that for most purposes, the community use the “working values” of $V_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim 25.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\lambda_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim 75.5^\circ$, $\beta_{\text{ISM}\infty} \sim -5.1^\circ$, and $T_{\text{He}\infty} \sim 7500 \text{ K}$ for the interstellar He inflow at ~ 1000 AU upstream; these values are consistent with both approaches used for the IBEX data analysis and the recent reanalysis of the Ulysses observations.

Finally, the ongoing IBEX observations and two-point Voyager ground truth measurements in the inner and outer heliosheath, along with even better observations from the planned IMAP mission, will further challenge us and require extensive theory and modeling efforts to reconcile our evolving understanding of the local interstellar medium, outer heliosphere, and their critical interaction. Surely this is an incredibly exciting time for the study of our heliosphere, the very local interstellar medium, and their complicated and delicate interactions.

Acknowledgements. We thank all of the outstanding men and women who made the IBEX mission possible. This work was carried out under the IBEX mission which is part of NASA's Explorer Program. Support was also provided by the Polish National Science Center grant 2012-06-M-ST9-00455, the Swiss National Science Foundation. BW acknowledges the support of NASA through award NNH13AV19I to the Naval Research Laboratory. O.K. and V.I have been supported by RFBR grant No. 14-02-00746.

References

- Adams, T., & P. Frisch 1977, *ApJ*, **212**, 300
- Baranov, V. B., Lebedev, M. G., Malama, Yu. G. 1991, *ApJ*, 375, 347
- Bertaux, J.L. & Blamont, J.cE. 1971, *A&A*, 11, 200
- Bertaux, J. L., Lallement, R., Kurt, V. G., Mironova, E. N. 1985, *A&A*, 150, 1
- Bochsler, P., et al. 2012, *ApJ Supp.* **198:13**, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/198/2/13
- Bochsler, P., et al. 2014, *Ap.J.S.* **210:12**, 10.1088/0067-0049/210/1/12
- Bzowski, M., et al. 2013, *Astr.Ap.* **557**, A50, 10.1051/0004-6361/201321700
- Bzowski, M. et al. 2012, *ApJ Supp.* **198:12**, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/198/2/12

- 741 Bzowski, M., M. A. Kubiak, M. Hłond, et al. 2014, *A&A* 569, A8
- 742 Bzowski, M., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 743 Chebotarev, G.A. 1964, Soviet Astronomy – *AJ* 7 No 5 (Astronomicheski Zhurnal **40** No
744 5), pp 618-622
- 745 Cheng, K., & Bruhweiler, F. 1990, *ApJ*, **364**, 573
- 746 Costa, J. et al. 1999, *A&A*, 349, 660
- 747 Cox, D., & Reynolds, R. 1987, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, **25**, 303
- 748 Fahr, H.J. 1978, *Astr.Ap.* **66**, pp 103-117
- 749 Frisch, P.C. 1994, *Science*, **265**, 1423
- 750 Frisch, P.C., 1995, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **72**, 499
- 751 Frisch, P. C., Redfield, S., & Slavin, J. 2011, *A&A*, **49**, 237
- 752 Frisch, P. C. et al. 2013, *Science*, **341**, 1880
- 753 Frisch, P. C. et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **801**, 61
- 754 Funsten et al. 2009a, *Space Sci. Rev.*

- 755 Fuselier, S. A., et al. 2009a, *Space Sci. Rev.* doi:10.1007/s11214-009-9495-8
- 756 Galli, A., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 757 Gloeckler, G., Geiss, J., Balsiger, H., et al. 1992, *A&A*, **92**, 267
- 758 Gloeckler, G., & Geiss, J. 1998, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **86**, 127
- 759 Gry, C., & Jenkins, E. 2014, *ApJ*, 567, A58
- 760 Heerikhuisen, J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 784, 73
- 761 Hlond, M., M. Bzowski, E. Möbius, et al. 2012, *Astrophys. J., Supplement Series*, 198:9,
 762 doi:10.1088/0067-0049/198/2/9
- 763 Izmodenov , V. V., et al. 2009, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 146, 329
- 764 Izmodenov, V.V. and D.B. Alexashov 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 765 Katushkina, O.A., V. V. Izmodenov, B. E. Wood, and D. R. McMullin 2014, *Astrophys J.*,
 766 789:80
- 767 Katushkina, O.A., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 768 Kubiak, M.A., M. Bzowski, J.M. Sokół, et al. 2014, *Astrophys. J. Supp.*, 213:29

- 769 Kucharek, H., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 770 Lallement, R., & Bertin, P. 1992, *A&A*, 266, 479
- 771 Lallement, R., Ferlet, R., Lagrange, A. M., Lemoine, M., & Vidal-Madjar, A., 1995, *A&A*,
772 **304**, 461
- 773 Lallement, R. & J.-L. Bertaux 2014, *A&A*, 565, A41
- 774 Lee, M. A., et al. 2012, *ApJ Supp.* **198:10**, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/198/2/10
- 775 Lee, M.A., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 776 Leonard, T., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **804:42**, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/804/1/42
- 777 Linsky, J. L. & Wood, B. E. 1996, *ApJ*, 463, 254
- 778 Linsky, J., Brown, A., & Gayley K. 1993, *ApJ*, **402**, 694
- 779 Livadiotis, G. and D.J. McComas 2009, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *114*, A11105, doi:
780 10.1029/2009JA014352
- 781 Livadiotis, G. and D.J. McComas 2013, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *175*, 183-214,
782 doi:10.1007/s11214-013-9982-9.
- 783 McComas, D.J., et al. 2011, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L18101, 10.1029/2011GL048763

- 784 McComas, D.J., et al. 2012, *Astrophys. J., Supplement Series*, 198:8, doi:10.1088/0067-
785 0049/198/2/8.
- 786 McComas, D.J. and N.A. Schwadron 2015, *ApJ Lett.*, 795:L17, doi:10.1088/2041-
787 8205/795/1/L17
- 788 McComas, D. J., et al. 2009a, *Space Sci. Rev.* doi:10.1007/s11214-009-9499-4
- 789 McComas, D. J., et al. 2009b, *Science* **326**, doi: 10.1126/science.1180906, 959-962
- 790 McComas, D.J., D. Alexashov, M. Bzowski, et al. 2012, *Science*, 336, 1291
- 791 Möbius, E., Hovestadt, D., & Klecker, B. et al. 1985, *Nature*, 318, 426
- 792 Möbius, E., et al. 2009a, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 146: 149-172
- 793 Möbius, E., et al. 2009b, *Science* **326**, doi: 10.1126/science.1180971, 969-971
- 794 Möbius, E., et al. 2012, *ApJ Supp.* **198:11**, doi:10.1088/0067-0049/198/2/11
- 795 Möbius, E., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 796 Park, J., H. Kucharek, E. Möbius, et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 795:97, 10.1088/0004-637X/795/1/97
- 797 Park, J., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue

- 798 Redfield, S., & Linsky, J. 2008, *ApJ*, **673**, 283
- 799 Rodriquez, D.F., P. Wurz, L. Saul, et al. 2013, *Astron. and Astrophys.*, 557, A125
- 800 Rodriguez Moreno, D.F., P. Wurz, L. Saul, et al. 2014, *Entropy*, 16, 1134-1168
- 801 Rodriquez, D.F., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 802 Rogerson, J. B., York, D. G., Drake, J. F., et al. 1973, *ApJ*, **181**, L110
- 803 Saul, L., P. Wurz, D. Rodriguez, et al. 2012, *ApJ Supplement Series*, 198:14,
804 doi:10.1088/0067-0049/198/2/14
- 805 Schwadron, N.A., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 775:86, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/775/2/86
- 806 Schwadron, N.A., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 807 Slavin, J., & Frisch, P. 2002, *ApJ*, **565**, 364
- 808 Slavin, J. & Frisch, P. 2008, *AA*, **491**, 53
- 809 Sokół, J.M. and Bzowski, M. 2014, astro-ph/1411.4826
- 810 Sokół, J.M., et al. 2015a, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 811 Sokół, J.M., et al. 2015b, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue

- 812 Swaczyna, P., et al. 2015, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 813 Thomas, G. E. 1978, *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 6, 173
- 814 Witte et al., 1992, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* 92,333-348
- 815 Witte, M. 2004, *Astron.&Astrophys.* **426**, 835
- 816 Wolff, B., Koester, D., & Lallement, R. 1999, *A&A*, **346**, 969
- 817 Wood, B., H. Mueller, M. Witte 2015a, *ApJ*, 801, 62
- 818 Wood, B., et al. 2015b, *ApJ Supp.*, this issue
- 819 Zank, G.P., J. Heerikhuisen, B.E. Wood, et al. 2013, *Astrophys. J.*, 763:20
- 820 Zank G.P., et al. 2014, *Ap.J.* **797**:87